

as it relates to the Joint Pretrial Order. Moreover, pursuant to Local Rule 26-3, it is respectfully submitted the Parties' failure to request the instant extension prior to the filing deadline was the result of excusable neglect; specifically noting the failure to request the extension of was a mere oversight by the Parties. *Bateman v. U.S. Postal Service*, 231 F.3d 1220 (9th Cir. 2000). Further: 1) there is no danger of prejudice as the extension is stipulated by the Parties; 2) a sixty (60) day extension will not impact a trial date because the same has not been scheduled; 3) the Parties are hopeful to attend a settlement conference within the requested extended period; and 4) the requested extension is made in good faith by both Parties. *Pioneer Investment Services v. Brunswick Associate's, Ltd.*, 507 U.S. 380, 395 (1993).

As such, the Parties stipulate and agree to jointly ask the Court to extend the deadline to submit the Proposed Joint Pretrial Order by 30 days to July 5, 2023.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED this 5th day of June, 2023.

STOVALL & ASSOCIATES

/s/ Ross Moynihan

Leslie Stovall, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 2566
Ross Moynihan, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 11848
2301 Palomino Lane
Las Vegas, Nevada 89107
Counsel for Plaintiff

DATED this 5th day of June, 2023.

BACKUS | BURDEN

/s/ Jacquelyn Franco

Jack P. Burden, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6918
Jacquelyn Franco, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 13484
3050 South Durango Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
Counsel for Defendant

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Parties shall submit the Proposed Joint Pretrial Order by July 5, 2023.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: this 5th day of June, 2023.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE